

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, JUDICATURE AT BILASPURWrit Petition No. 4609 /2005**Petitioner**

4652/05
 P.S. No. Amum Dubey
 Presented to 8/9/05
 dated

B.L.Dhruv, Son of Shri Rati Ram Dhruv,
 Age 59 years, Agriculture Development
 Officer (Soil Conservation) Sub Division,
 Mahasamund, District Mahasamund
 (C.G.)

Versus**Respondents**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary,
 Agriculture Department, Mantralaya,
 D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Director, Directorate of Agriculture,
 Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).
3. Director, Directorate of Agriculture,
 Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

RECEIVED-COPY
8/9/05
 CLERK to A. G. BILASUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक NP 4609/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

श का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17/10/2005 Shri Ramakant Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Govt. Advocate for respondent Nos. 1&3. Heard finally with the consent of both the parties. The petitioner, who is presently working as Agriculture Development Officer (Soil Conservation) Sub Division, Mahasamund District Mahasamund (C.G.) has filed this Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for a direction to respondent No.3 to consider his case for promotion to the post of Agricultural Development Officer w.e.f. 1983 and for all consequential benefits thereof. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in this regard, the petitioner had filed a representation (Annexure P-4) before the respondent No.3, but the same has not been decided so far. He further submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to dispose of the representation (Annexure P-4) within a stipulated period granted by this Court. Learned counsel also submits that as the petitioner is going to retire on 28th February, 2006, therefore, some direction to dispose of the case at some early date may be issued. This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. * The same is allowed.	

(29)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

WP 4609/05

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	If so advised, the petitioner may file a fresh copy of representation (Annexure P-4) alongwith a copy of Writ Petition and a copy of this order before the competent authority within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt/ filing of the said representation. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this petition stands finally disposed of at this stage. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

10/10/05
2005/08